1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6 7	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
9	DARNELL O MCGARY,		
10	Petitioner,	CASE NO. C14-5829 BHS-KLS	
11	v.	ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR COUNSEL	
12	MARK STRONG,		
13	Respondent.		
14	Petitioner Darnell McGary seeks an order appointing counsel in his habeas proceeding.		
15	Dkt. 11. Having carefully considered the motion, the Court finds that it should be denied.		
16	DISCUSSION		
17	There is no constitutional right to the appointment of counsel in a federal habeas corpus		
18	proceeding. McCleskey v. Zant, 499 U.S. 467, 495 (1991); Pennsylvania v. Finley, 481 U.S. 551,		
	proceeding. McCleskey v. Zani, 499 U.S. 407, 49.	5 (1771), 1 emisyivama v. 1 mey, 401 0.5. 551,	
	555 (1987). If an evidentiary hearing is required,		
20		the Court may appoint counsel for a petitioner	
20 21	555 (1987). If an evidentiary hearing is required, who qualifies under 18 U.S.C. § 3006(A)(g). Rule also appoint counsel at an earlier stage of the process.	the Court may appoint counsel for a petitioner e 8(c), 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254. The Court may eedings if the interest of justice so requires. 18	
19 20 21 22 23	555 (1987). If an evidentiary hearing is required, who qualifies under 18 U.S.C. § 3006(A)(g). Rule	the Court may appoint counsel for a petitioner e 8(c), 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254. The Court may eedings if the interest of justice so requires. 18 U.S.C. § 2254(h); <i>Terrovona v. Kincheloe</i> , 912	

1	"In exercising its discretion, the district court should consider the legal complexity of the case,	
2	the factual complexity of the case, and the petitioner's ability to investigate and present his	
3	claims, along with any other relevant factors." <i>Hoggard v. Purkett</i> , 29 F.3d 469, 471 (8th Cir.	
4	1994) (citing <i>Abdullah v. Norris</i> , 18 F.3d 571, 573 (8th Cir. 1994)).	
5	Mr. McGary fails to show the appointment of counsel is necessary at this time. The	
6	motion is at best premature. Respondent has not yet answered the petition, the Court has not	
7	determined whether an evidentiary hearing is necessary, and Mr. McGary has not shown the case	
8	presents complex legal or factual issues that would require the appointment of counsel in the	
9	interests of justice.	
10	Accordingly, the motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. 11) is <b>DENIED.</b> The Clerk	
11	shall send a copy of this Order to Petitioner and to counsel for Respondent.	
12	DATED this <u>1st</u> day of December, 2014.	
13		
14	Karen L. Strombom	
15	United States Magistrate Judge	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		